

COJ: TECHNICAL EMT CLUSTER
COJ: EMT
COJ: MAYORAL SUB COMMITTEE
COJ: MAYORAL COMMITTEE
COJ: FINANCE COMMITTEE
COJ: COUNCIL

COPY

**STRATEGIC SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT
ACQUISITION STATUS REPORT REFLECTING
BIDS CONSIDERED AND APPROVED
BY THE BID ADJUDICATION COMMITTEES
FOR THE PERIOD OCTOBER TO DECEMBER 2012**

1 STRATEGIC THRUST

Well governed and managed City.

2 OBJECTIVES

To report to Council on bids considered and approved by both the Executive and the Central Adjudication Committees respectively for the period of October to December 2012.

3 SUMMARY

Both the Executive and Central Adjudication Committees were established in terms of the provisions of Local Government Municipal Finance Management Act. These Committees consist of officials with delegated authority to approve as a committee bids in accordance with their terms of reference.

Bids were evaluated in accordance with criteria set out in the Preferential Procurement Policy Framework Act (Act No: 5 of 2000) and the Preferential Procurement Regulations 2011, published in terms of Government Gazette No 34350 and also in terms of the criteria set out in the Construction Industry Development Board (Act No 38 of 2000).

The bids approved by the respective Bid Adjudication Committees are attached as "Annexure A".

Among bids approved by the respective Bid Adjudication Committees are goods and services procured from approved panels. These transactions were subjected to the same procedures prescribed for competitive bidding processes. All panels approved by the Bid Adjudication committees, are used in terms of Practice Note Number:004/2011 "The use of Panels of Service Providers", in terms of this practice note all requirements are to be dealt with in terms of the SCM delegations.

**COJ: TECHNICAL EMT CLUSTER
COJ: EMT
COJ: MAYORAL SUB COMMITTEE
COJ: MAYORAL COMMITTEE
COJ: FINANCE COMMITTEE
COJ: COUNCIL**

4 POLICY IMPLICATIONS

The adjudication and approval of bids was implemented in accordance with the approved SCM Policy of the City of Johannesburg.

5 LEGAL AND CONSTITUTIONAL IMPLICATIONS

In terms of Regulation 6(1) read with Regulation 6(2) of the Municipal Supply Chain Management Regulations issued in terms of the Local Government Municipal Finance Management Act 56 of 2003, the Council of the Municipality must maintain an oversight role over the implementation of its Supply Chain Management Policy. This report has been compiled in compliance thereto.

6 FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

All bids approved by the Central and Executive Adjudication Committees had approved budget provisions as per the respective vote numbers submitted.

7 COMMUNICATION IMPLICATIONS

The report will be made public in the manner prescribed in Regulation 6(4) of the Municipal Supply Chain Management Regulations issued in terms of the MFMA.

8 OTHER BODIES/DEPARTMENTS CONSULTED

Finance and Group Legal and Contracts as Departments were consulted in the drafting of this report

9. KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATOR


This report is for compliance purposes to enable the Council as public representatives to exercise their oversight role.

IT IS RECOMMENDED

- [1 That the contents of the report and the information contained in Annexure A and B thereto be noted.**


COJ: TECHNICAL EMT CLUSTER
COJ: EMT
COJ: MAYORAL SUB COMMITTEE
COJ: MAYORAL COMMITTEE
COJ: FINANCE COMMITTEE
COJ: COUNCIL

2. That the Group Head: Strategic Supply Chain Management, in compliance with Regulation 6(4) of the Supply Chain Management Regulations issued in terms of the Local Government: Municipal Finance Management Act 56 of 2003, makes this report public in the manner prescribed in section 21A of the Local Government: Municipal Systems Act No 32 of 2000, for access thereof by the public.]


Thembisa Peele

Group Head: Strategic Supply Chain Management

Quentin Green
Acting GCFO



Mbulelo Ruda
Group Head: Legal and Contracts

Councillor Geoffrey Makhubo
MMC: Finance

COJ: TECHNICAL EMT CLUSTER
 COJ: EMT
 COJ: MAYORAL SUB COMMITTEE
 COJ: MAYORAL COMMITTEE
 COJ: FINANCE COMMITTEE
 COJ: COUNCIL

ANNEXURE A

Department	Contract No.	Description	Contracted Service Provider	Period	Reasons	Value of the project (Vat Inc)
Institutional Review Task Team	Panel A348	To conduct Assessment and Feasibility Studies in Terms of Section 78 of the Local Government Municipal Systems Act 2000	IQ Business (Pty) Ltd Johannesburg	Ten (10) Weeks	<p>Eight (8) service providers who are in the approved panel A348 were invited.</p> <p>Five (5) none responsive bids were disqualified due one or more of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • None submission of municipal services account. • No municipal services account for directors. • Submitted expired tax clearance certificate. <p>Three (3) responsive proposal were received and evaluated in terms of the latest Preferential Procurement Regulations 2011 and the SCM Policy.</p> <p>The bidder who scored the highest number of points as per the PPPFA was recommended.</p>	<p>R 508 896.00</p> <p>APPROVED: October 2012</p>

COJ: TECHNICAL EMT CLUSTER
 COJ: EMT
 COJ: MAYORAL SUB COMMITTEE
 COJ: MAYORAL COMMITTEE
 COJ: FINANCE COMMITTEE
 COJ: COUNCIL

Department	Contract No.	Description	Contracted Service Provider	Period	Reasons	Value of the project (Vat Inc)
Community Development	Panel A431	RFQ for Training on Customer Care, Project Management and Stress and Change Management for Community Development based on Specialized Skills Development Training	i. MCM Peace Communications Johannesburg Not BBBEE accredited	Two (2) Months	Eight (8) service providers who are in A431 were invited.	R 200 000.00
			ii. Shirs Vision Compleadev (Pty) Ltd Johannesburg Not BBBEE accredited		Eight (8) responsive proposals were received and evaluated in terms of the latest Preferential Procurement Regulations 2011 and the SCM Policy.	R 210 000.00
			iii. Konani Training and Development Institute Johannesburg Not BBBEE accredited		Three bidders who scored the highest number of points as per the PPPFA were recommended.	R 158 000.00
						APPROVED: October 2012

COJ: TECHNICAL EMT CLUSTER
 COJ: EMT
 COJ: MAYORAL SUB COMMITTEE
 COJ: MAYORAL COMMITTEE
 COJ: FINANCE COMMITTEE
 COJ: COUNCIL

Department	Contract No.	Description	Contracted Service Provider	Period	Reasons	Value of the project (Vat Inc)
Group Human Capital	Panel A431	Provision and Generic and all Specialized Training Services for the City of Johannesburg (National Treasury)	i. Summat Training Institute Johannesburg Not BBBEE accredited ii. Southern African Institute of learning (SAIL) Johannesburg Not BBBEE accredited iii. Deloitte Johannesburg Not BBBEE accredited iv. Talent Emporium Johannesburg Not BBBEE accredited v. Siyakhula Trust Johannesburg Not BBBEE accredited vi. Imani Johannesburg Not BBBEE accredited	Eighteen (18) Months	All service providers who are in the approved panel A431 were invited to attend briefing session. Six (6) responsive proposal for Financial Management were received and evaluated in terms of the latest Preferential Procurement Regulations 2011 and the SCM Policy. Six (6) bidders who scored the highest number of points as per the PPPFA were recommended.	R 4 500 000 APPROVED: October 2012

COJ: TECHNICAL EMT CLUSTER
 COJ: EMT
 COJ: MAYORAL SUB COMMITTEE
 COJ: MAYORAL COMMITTEE
 COJ: FINANCE COMMITTEE
 COJ: COUNCIL

Department	Contract No.	Description	Contracted Service Provider	Period	Reasons	Value of the project (Vat Inc)
JMPD	289/10	Two Stage Bidding process for Provision, Operational Support and Maintenance of a Turnkey Digital Red Light and Speed Law Enforcement System	<p>A Panel of 5 Service Providers was approved.</p> <p>i. Traffic Management Technologies Cape Town BBBEE – Level 8</p> <p>ii. Syntell Midrand BBBEE – Level 9</p> <p>iii. Moving Violation System Roodepoort BBBEE – Level 9</p> <p>iv. Traffic Violation Solutions Johannesburg Not BBBEE accredited</p> <p>v. Bestow/Face Technologies Johannesburg Not BBBEE accredited</p>	Three (3) Years	<p>Eleven (11) bids were received.</p> <p>Six (6) none responsive bids were disqualified due one or more of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder had no experience in maintenance, management and operation of full end-to-end AARTO. Failure to score the minimum threshold for functionality <p>Five (5) responsive bids were further evaluated in detail in terms of the latest Preferential Procurement Regulations 2011 and the SCM Policy.</p> <p>The bidders who scored the highest number of points as per the PPPFA were recommended for inclusion in panel.</p>	<p>R 225 million</p> <p>APPROVED: November 2012</p>

COJ: TECHNICAL EMT CLUSTER
 COJ: EMT
 COJ: MAYORAL SUB COMMITTEE
 COJ: MAYORAL COMMITTEE
 COJ: FINANCE COMMITTEE
 COJ: COUNCIL

Department	Contract No.	Description	Contracted Service Provider	Period	Reasons	Value of the project (Vat Inc)
Group Communication and Tourism	A459	Above the Line and Below the Line Advertising Services Panel of Service Providers for the City of Johannesburg	<p>A panel of 11 Service Providers was approved.</p> <p>i. Explore Consulting (Pty) Ltd & JK Olive Communications cc Consortium Johannesburg Not BBBEE accredited</p> <p>ii. Cut to Black (Pty Ltd Randburg Not BBBEE accredited</p> <p>iii. Catgraphics (Pty) Ltd Johannesburg Not BBBEE accredited</p> <p>iv. TCI Technoafri Johannesburg Not BBBEE accredited</p> <p>v. WF Marketing Communication Johannesburg Not BBBEE accredited</p>	Three (3) Years	<p>Thirty two (32) bids were received.</p> <p>Twenty Three (21) none responsive bids were disqualified due to one or more of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • None submission of municipal services account. • No municipal services account for directors. • None submission of 2 years audited financial statements. • Submitted expired tax clearance certificate. <p>Eleven (11) responsive bids were further evaluated in detail in terms of the latest Preferential Procurement Regulations 2011 and the SCM Policy.</p> <p>The bidder who scored the highest number of points as per the PPPFA was recommended for inclusion in panel.</p>	<p>R 500 million</p> <p>APPROVED November 2012</p>

COJ: TECHNICAL EMT CLUSTER
 COJ: EMT
 COJ: MAYORAL SUB COMMITTEE
 COJ: MAYORAL COMMITTEE
 COJ: FINANCE COMMITTEE
 COJ: COUNCIL

Department	Contract No.	Description	Contracted Service Provider	Period	Reasons	Value of the project (Vat Inc)
Group Communication and Tourism	A459		vi. The Brand Leadership Group Sandton BBBEE – Level 10 vii. Zenone Productions Johannesburg Not BBBEE accredited viii. Nyalu Communication Johannesburg BBBEE – Level 10 ix. Two Tone Global Johannesburg Not BBBEE accredited x. Blue Print Group Midrand BBBEE – Level 8 xi. Lesoba Difference Marketing Johannesburg BBBEE – Level 4			

COJ: TECHNICAL EMT CLUSTER
 COJ: EMT
 COJ: MAYORAL SUB COMMITTEE
 COJ: MAYORAL COMMITTEE
 COJ: FINANCE COMMITTEE
 COJ: COUNCIL

Department	Contract No.	Description	Contracted Service Provider	Period	Reasons	Value of the project (Vat Inc)
Johannesburg Metro Police Department	371/12	Panel of companies for the guarding of Municipal Properties and Assets of the City of Johannesburg	<p>A panel of 10 Service Providers was approved.</p> <p>i. Hlanganani Protective Services (Pty) Ltd Johannesburg BBBEE- Level 8</p> <p>ii. M C Security & Investigation CC Johannesburg Not BBBEE accredited</p> <p>iii. Mabotwane Security Services Johannesburg BBBEE –Level 8</p> <p>iv. Mafoko Security Patrols (Pty) Ltd Pretoria BBBEE- Level 9</p> <p>v. Marshall Knights Security Services Johannesburg BBBEE-Level 8</p>	Three (3) Years	<p>One Hundred and Four (104) bids were received.</p> <p>Eighty Two (82) none responsive bids were disqualified due to one or more of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • None submission of Municipal Services Accounts • None submission of 3 years Audited Financial statements • Submitted expired Tax Clearance Certificate • No letter for alteration • Form of tender not signed <p>Twenty Two (22) responsive bids were further evaluated in detail in terms of the latest Preferential Procurement Regulations 2011 and the SCM Policy.</p> <p>Ten (10) bidders who scored the highest number of points</p>	<p>R 450 million</p> <p>APPROVED: November 2012</p>

COJ: TECHNICAL EMT CLUSTER
 COJ: EMT
 COJ: MAYORAL SUB COMMITTEE
 COJ: MAYORAL COMMITTEE
 COJ: FINANCE COMMITTEE
 COJ: COUNCIL

Department	Contract No.	Description	Contracted Service Provider	Period	Reasons	Value of the project (Vat Inc)
Johannesburg Metro Police Department	371/12	Panel of companies for the guarding of Municipal Properties and Assets of the City of Johannesburg	vi. Peak Security Services (Pty) Ltd Johannesburg BBBEE – Level 8 vii. Sihlangene Security Services & Cleaning cc Johannesburg BBBEE – Level 10 viii. SOS Protection National Division cc Johannesburg BBBEE – Level 10 ix. Venus Security Solutions Johannesburg BBBEE – Level 8 x. Vimtsire Security Protections Group Johannesburg Not BBBEE accredited		as per the PPPFA were recommended for inclusion in panel.	

COJ: TECHNICAL EMT CLUSTER
 COJ: EMT
 COJ: MAYORAL SUB COMMITTEE
 COJ: MAYORAL COMMITTEE
 COJ: FINANCE COMMITTEE
 COJ: COUNCIL

Department	Contract No.	Description	Contracted Service Provider	Period	Reasons	Value of the project (Vat Inc)
Housing	364/12	Appointment of a Contractor for the Construction of Roads and Storm Water Management System in Vlakfontein Extension 1 (Phase 2)	T.N Molefe Construction Pty Ltd Honeydew BBBEE level 3	Six (6) Months	<p>Thirty eight (38) bids were received.</p> <p>The twenty six (26) none responsive bids were disqualified due to one or more of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bidders not submitting 3 years Audited Financial Statements • None submission of Municipal Services Accounts • None completion of (MBD1) in full. <p>Six (6) out of twelve (12) bids qualified to be further evaluated in detail in terms of the latest Preferential Procurement Regulations 2011 and the SCM Policy.</p> <p>The bidder who scored the highest number of points as per the PPPFA was recommended.</p>	<p>R 13 755 767.32</p> <p>APPROVED: December 2012</p>

COJ: TECHNICAL EMT CLUSTER
 COJ: EMT
 COJ: MAYORAL SUB COMMITTEE
 COJ: MAYORAL COMMITTEE
 COJ: FINANCE COMMITTEE
 COJ: COUNCIL

Department	Contract No.	Description	Contracted Service Provider	Period	Reasons	Value of the project (Vat Inc)
Housing	365/12	Appointment of a Contractor for the Construction of Roads and Storm Water Management System in Viakfontein Extension 3	Blue-Dot G Services – Lilithalethu Trading 41 Joint Venture. Polokwane BBBEE Level 1	Six (6) Months	<p>Forty (40) bids were received.</p> <p>The thirty (30) none responsive bids were disqualified due to one or more of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bidders not submitting 3 years Audited Financial Statements • None submission of original tax clearance certificate • None submission copy of lease agreement or rates and taxes. <p>The ten (10) responsive bids were further evaluated in detail in terms of the latest Preferential Procurement Regulations 2011 and the SCM Policy.</p> <p>The bidder who scored the highest number of points as per the PPPFA was recommended.</p>	<p>R17 954 752.56</p> <p>APPROVED: December 2012</p>

COJ: TECHNICAL EMT CLUSTER
 COJ: EMT
 COJ: MAYORAL SUB COMMITTEE
 COJ: MAYORAL COMMITTEE
 COJ: FINANCE COMMITTEE
 COJ: COUNCIL

Department	Contract No.	Description	Contracted Service Provider	Period	Reasons	Value of the project (Vat Inc)
Housing Department	366/12	Appointment of a Contractor for Flood Alleviation and Box Culvert Repairs (phase 2) in New Clare-Emma Flats	Well Earned Trading 14 cc Kwadlangezwa (KZN) BBBEE Level 5	Nine (9) months	<p>Thirty (30) bids were received.</p> <p>Twenty four (24) none responsive bids were disqualified due to one or more of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • None submission of 3 years Audited Financial Statements • None submission of Municipal Services Accounts • None completion of (MBD1) in full. <p>The Six (6) responsive bids were further evaluated in terms of the latest Preferential Procurement Regulation 2011 and the SCM Policy</p> <p>The bidder who scored the highest number of points as per the PPPFA was recommended.</p>	R 14 826 132.55 APPROVED: December 2012

COJ: TECHNICAL EMT CLUSTER
 COJ: EMT
 COJ: MAYORAL SUB COMMITTEE
 COJ: MAYORAL COMMITTEE
 COJ: FINANCE COMMITTEE
 COJ: COUNCIL

Department	Contract No.	Description	Contracted Service Provider	Period	Reasons	Value of the project (Vat Inc)
Housing	367/12	Appointment of a Contractor for Orange Farm Extension 9-Phase 1:Construction of Bulk Roads and related Storm Water Drainage	T.N Molefe Construction Pty Ltd Honeydew BBBEE level 3	Twelve (12) Months	<p>Thirty one (31) bids were received.</p> <p>Twenty three (23) none responsive bids were disqualified due one or more of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • None submission of Municipal Services Accounts • None submission of 3 years Audited Financial Statements <p>Eight (8) responsive bids were further evaluated in detail in terms of the latest Preferential Procurement Regulations 2011 and the SCM Policy.</p> <p>The bidder who scored the highest number of points as per the PPPFA was recommended.</p>	R25 428 102.36 APPROVED: December 2012

COJ: TECHNICAL EMT CLUSTER
 COJ: EMT
 COJ: MAYORAL SUB COMMITTEE
 COJ: MAYORAL COMMITTEE
 COJ: FINANCE COMMITTEE
 COJ: COUNCIL

Department	Contract No.	Description	Contracted Service Provider	Period	Reasons	Value of the project (Vat Inc)
Housing	A396	Design, Supply and Installation of Pedestrian Bridge at Kya Sands Informal Settlement	Malani Padayachee & Associates (Pty) Ltd Johannesburg Not BBBEE accredited	Six (6) Months	<p>Six (6) bids were received.</p> <p>One (1) none responsive bid was disqualified due to the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • None attendance of compulsory briefing session <p>Five (5) responsive bids were further evaluated in detail in terms of the latest Preferential Procurement Regulations 2011 and the SCM Policy.</p> <p>The bidder who scored the highest number of points as per the PPPFA was recommended.</p>	R 1 400 190.58 APPROVED: December 2012

COJ: TECHNICAL EMT CLUSTER
 COJ: EMT
 COJ: MAYORAL SUB COMMITTEE
 COJ: MAYORAL COMMITTEE
 COJ: FINANCE COMMITTEE
 COJ: COUNCIL

Department	Contract No.	Description	Contracted Service Provider	Period	Reasons	Value of the project (Vat Inc)
Transport	A482	Appointment of a bus Manufacturing and Delivery of 134 buses for Phase 1B Rea Vaya	Sandown Motor Holdings (Pty) Ltd Johannesburg Not BBBEE accredited		<p>Six (6) bids were received.</p> <p>Three (3) none responsive bids were disqualified due to one or more of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • None attendance of compulsory briefing session • Alteration without authorised signatory and an accompanying letter on a company letterhead confirming such alteration. <p>Three (3) responsive bids were further evaluated in detail in terms of the latest Preferential Procurement Regulations 2011 and the SCM Policy.</p> <p>The bidder who scored the highest number of points as per the PPPFA was recommended.</p>	R 327 156 638.00 APPROVED: December 2012

COJ: TECHNICAL EMT CLUSTER
 COJ: EMT
 COJ: MAYORAL SUB COMMITTEE
 COJ: MAYORAL COMMITTEE
 COJ: FINANCE COMMITTEE
 COJ: COUNCIL

Department	Contract No.	Description	Contracted Service Provider	Period	Reasons	Value of the project (Vat Inc)
Transportation	A396	Development of a Strategic Integrated Transport plan Framework	Colleen Mc Caul Associates Johannesburg Not BBBEE accredited	Six (6) months	<p>Four (4) service providers who are in the approved panel A396 were invited.</p> <p>One (1) none responsive bid was disqualified due to the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • None attendance of compulsory briefing session <p>Three (3) responsive bids were further evaluated in detail in terms of the latest Preferential Procurement Regulations 2011 and the SCM Policy.</p> <p>The bidder who scored the highest number of points as per the PPPFA was recommended.</p>	<p>R 1 660 250.00</p> <p>APPROVED: December 2012</p>

COJ: TECHNICAL EMT CLUSTER
 COJ: EMT
 COJ: MAYORAL SUB COMMITTEE
 COJ: MAYORAL COMMITTEE
 COJ: FINANCE COMMITTEE
 COJ: COUNCIL

Department	Contract No.	Description	Contracted Service Provider	Period	Reasons	Value of the project (Vat Inc)
Community Development	379/12	Upgrading of the Orange Farm Library: Region G	Ramosiba Projects Newtown BBBEE Level 3	Three (3) Months	<p>Twenty Three (23) bids were received.</p> <p>Fifteen (15) none responsive bids were disqualified due to one or more of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • None submission of Municipal Services Accounts for company directors • Alteration without authorised signatory and an accompanying letter on a company letterhead confirming such alteration. <p>Eight (8) responsive bids were further evaluated in detail in terms of the latest Preferential Procurement Regulations 2011 and the SCM Policy.</p> <p>The bidder who scored the highest number of points as per the PPPFA was recommended.</p>	R 754 757.00 APPROVED: December 2012

COJ: TECHNICAL EMT CLUSTER
 COJ: EMT
 COJ: MAYORAL SUB COMMITTEE
 COJ: MAYORAL COMMITTEE
 COJ: FINANCE COMMITTEE
 COJ: COUNCIL

Department	Contract No.	Description	Contracted Service Provider	Period	Reasons	Value of the project (Vat Inc)
Community Development	382/12	Upgrading of Zola Butt Hut: Region D	Dimenge's Projects Johannesburg BBBEE Level 8	Three (3) Months	<p>Thirty One (31) bids were received.</p> <p>Twenty Seven (27) none responsive bids were disqualified due to one or more the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • None attendance of compulsory briefing session • The bidders did not sign the form of tender • Bidder did not submit the required CIDB grading • None submission of Tax Clearance Certificate <p>Four (4) responsive bids were further evaluated in detail in terms of the latest Preferential Procurement Regulations 2011 and the SCM Policy.</p> <p>The bidder who scored the highest number of points as per the PPPFA was recommended.</p>	R 1 055 328.00 APPROVED: December 2012

COJ: TECHNICAL EMT CLUSTER
 COJ: EMT
 COJ: MAYORAL SUB COMMITTEE
 COJ: MAYORAL COMMITTEE
 COJ: FINANCE COMMITTEE
 COJ: COUNCIL

Department	Contract No.	Description	Contracted Service Provider	Period	Reasons	Value of the project (Vat Inc)
Community Development	386/12	Upgrading of the Linden Swimming Pool: Region B	Dryden Projects Walkerville BBBEE Level 10	Three (3) Months	<p>Seventeen (17) bids were received.</p> <p>Fourteen (14) none responsive bids were disqualified due one or more of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • None attendance of compulsory briefing session • The bidders did not sign the form of tender • Bidder did not submit the required CIDB grading • None submission of Tax Clearance Certificate <p>Three (3) responsive bids were further evaluated in detail in terms of the latest Preferential Procurement Regulations 2011 and the SCM Policy.</p> <p>The bidder who scored the highest number of points as per the PPPFA was recommended.</p>	R 1 006 257.70 APPROVED: December 2012

COJ: TECHNICAL EMT CLUSTER
 COJ: EMT
 COJ: MAYORAL SUB COMMITTEE
 COJ: MAYORAL COMMITTEE
 COJ: FINANCE COMMITTEE
 COJ: COUNCIL

Department	Contract No.	Description	Contracted Service Provider	Period	Reasons	Value of the project (Vat Inc)
Events Management Unit	A478	Joburg open Tournament Venue from 2013 to 2015 for the City of Johannesburg	Royal Johannesburg and Kensington Golf Club Johannesburg Not BBBEE accredited	Three (3) Years	Five (5) bids were received. Three (3) none responsive bids were disqualified due to one or more of the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The bidder submitted copy of Tax Clearance Certification • Director did not submit a Municipal Services Accounts statement Two (2) responsive bids were further evaluated in detail in terms of the latest Preferential Procurement Regulations 2011 and the SCM Policy. The bidder who scored the highest number of points as per the PPPFA was recommended.	R 741 000 APPROVED: December 2012

COJ: TECHNICAL EMT CLUSTER
 COJ: EMT
 COJ: MAYORAL SUB COMMITTEE
 COJ: MAYORAL COMMITTEE
 COJ: FINANCE COMMITTEE
 COJ: COUNCIL

Department	Contract No.	Description	Contracted Service Provider	Period	Reasons	Value of the project (Vat Inc)
Events Management Unit	A479	Joburg open International Golf Tournament Event Management Service Provider for the City of Johannesburg from 2012 to 2015	Sail Rights Commercialisation (Pty) Ltd Johannesburg BBBEE Level 8	Three (3) Years	<p>Four (4) bids were received.</p> <p>One (1) none responsive bid was disqualified due to the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The bidder submitted copy of Tax Clearance Certification • Director did not submit a Municipal Services Accounts statement <p>Three (3) responsive bid were further evaluated in detail in terms of the latest Preferential Procurement Regulations 2011 and the SCM Policy.</p> <p>The bidder who scored the highest number of points as per the PPPFA was recommended.</p>	R 912,000.00 APPROVED: December 2012

COJ: TECHNICAL EMT CLUSTER
 COJ: EMT
 COJ: MAYORAL SUB COMMITTEE
 COJ: MAYORAL COMMITTEE
 COJ: FINANCE COMMITTEE
 COJ: COUNCIL

Department	Contract No.	Description	Contracted Service Provider	Period	Reasons	Value of the project (Vat Inc)
Economic Development	Panel A408	Appointment of a Professional Service Provider to identify Investment Projects across the City for Feasibility, Packaging and Business Planning across all Sectors of Economic Importance	Bodibeng Management Services Johannesburg BBBEE Level 5	Five (5) Months	Six (6) service providers who are in the approved panel A408 were invited. Four (4) service providers responded and were evaluated in terms of the latest Preferential Procurement Regulations 2011 and the SCM Policy. The bidder who scored the highest number of points as per the PPPFA was recommended.	R 1 989 000 APPROVED: December 2012

COJ: TECHNICAL EMT CLUSTER
 COJ: EMT
 COJ: MAYORAL SUB COMMITTEE
 COJ: MAYORAL COMMITTEE
 COJ: FINANCE COMMITTEE
 COJ: COUNCIL

Department	Contract No.	Description	Contracted Service Provider	Period	Reasons	Value of the project (Vat Inc)
Economic Development	Panel A408	Appointment of an Consultant to undertake the Inner City Property Scheme (ICPS) Model and Implementation	BMR of Unisa Pretoria Not BBBEE accredited	Six (6) months	Twelve (12) service providers who are in the approved panel A408 were invited. Three (3) service providers responded and were evaluated in terms of the latest Preferential Procurement Regulations 2011 and the SCM Policy. The bidder who scored the highest number of points as per the PPPFA was recommended.	R 1,700.000.00 APPROVED: December 2012

COJ: TECHNICAL EMT CLUSTER
 COJ: EMT
 COJ: MAYORAL SUB COMMITTEE
 COJ: MAYORAL COMMITTEE
 COJ: FINANCE COMMITTEE
 COJ: COUNCIL

Department	Contract No.	Description	Contracted Service Provider	Period	Reasons	Value of the project (Vat Inc)
Economic Development	Panel A408	BPO Implementation Manager	Basis Points Capital Johannesburg Not BBBEE accredited	Twelve (12) months	RFP was issued on the 28 th May 2012 and only two (2) service providers responded and were evaluated in terms of the latest Preferential Procurement Regulations 2011 and the SCM Policy. The bidder who scored the highest number of points as per the PPPFA was recommended.	R 600 000 Approved: December 2012

COJ: TECHNICAL EMT CLUSTER
 COJ: EMT
 COJ: MAYORAL SUB COMMITTEE
 COJ: MAYORAL COMMITTEE
 COJ: FINANCE COMMITTEE
 COJ: COUNCIL

Department	Contract No.	Description	Contracted Service Provider	Period	Reasons	Value of the project (Vat Inc)
Economic Development	Panel A425	Appointment of Service Providers from Panel A425 to conduct the COJ Customer Satisfaction Survey for the Financial Year 2013/13	Rhizome Management Services cc Johannesburg Not BBBEE accredited	Six (6) Months	Four (4) service providers who are in the approved panel A425 were invited. Four (4) service providers responded and were evaluated in terms of the latest Preferential Procurement Regulations 2011 and the SCM Policy. The bidder who scored the highest number of points as per the PPPFA was recommended.	R 1.7million APPROVED: December 2012

COJ: TECHNICAL EMT CLUSTER
 COJ: EMT
 COJ: MAYORAL SUB COMMITTEE
 COJ: MAYORAL COMMITTEE
 COJ: FINANCE COMMITTEE
 COJ: COUNCIL

Department	Contract No.	Description	Contracted Service Provider	Period	Reasons	Value of the project (Vat Inc)
Group Communication and Tourism	A463	Request for a panel of service providers for Brand Management Corporate Identity Guidelines Usage, Policy and Training for the City of Johannesburg	<p>A Panel of 4 Service Providers was approved.</p> <p>i. The Brand Leadership Group Johannesburg BBBEE – Level 10</p> <p>ii. Elements Egoli Johannesburg Not BBBEE accredited</p> <p>iii. Cut to Black (Pty Ltd Johannesburg BBBEE – Level 10</p> <p>iv. DWF Marketing Communication Johannesburg BBBEE – Level 8</p>	Three (3) Years	<p>Ten (10) bids were received.</p> <p>Six (6) none responsive bids were disqualified due to the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • None submission of Municipal Services Accounts for company director <p>Four (4) responsive bids were further evaluated in detail in terms of the latest Preferential Procurement Regulations 2011 and the SCM Policy.</p> <p>The bidders who scored the highest number of points as per the PPPFA were recommended for inclusion in panel.</p>	<p>R 75 million</p> <p>APPROVED: December 2012</p> <p>Any requirement above R5 million will go out on tender as opposed to being sourced from the panel</p>

COJ: TECHNICAL EMT CLUSTER
 COJ: EMT
 COJ: MAYORAL SUB COMMITTEE
 COJ: MAYORAL COMMITTEE
 COJ: FINANCE COMMITTEE
 COJ: COUNCIL

Department	Contract No.	Description	Contracted Service Provider	Period	Reasons	Value of the project (Vat Inc)
Group Communication and Tourism	A471	Panel of Service Providers for the Production of Fixed Display material, Branding at Events and Campaigns	<p>A Panel of 7 Service Providers was approved.</p> <p>i. Bloom Marketing Johannesburg BBBEE Level 10</p> <p>ii. Siyabonisa Exhibitions & Logistics Johannesburg No BBEE accredited</p> <p>iii. Garona Communications & Projects Johannesburg BBBEE Level 8</p>	Three (3) Years	<p>Twelve (12) bids were received.</p> <p>Five (5) none responsive bids were disqualified due to the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • None submission of Municipal Services Accounts for company directors <p>Seven (7) responsive bids were further evaluated in detail in terms of the latest Preferential Procurement Regulations 2011 and the SCM Policy.</p>	<p>R 100 million</p> <p>APPROVED: December 2012</p>

COJ: TECHNICAL EMT CLUSTER
 COJ: EMT
 COJ: MAYORAL SUB COMMITTEE
 COJ: MAYORAL COMMITTEE
 COJ: FINANCE COMMITTEE
 COJ: COUNCIL

Department	Contract No.	Description	Contracted Service Provider	Period	Reasons	Value of the project (Vat Inc)
Group Communication and Tourism	A471	Panel of Service Providers for the Production of Fixed Display material, Branding at Events and Campaigns	iv. Brandhead Strategic Marketing Communications Johannesburg Not BBBEE accredited v. DWF Marketing Communication Johannesburg BBBEE – Level 8 vi. African Graphic CC Johannesburg BBBEE Level 8 vii. Flash Digital Printers Johannesburg BBBEE Accreditation Certificate expired		The bidders who scored the highest number of points as per the PPPFA were recommended for inclusion in panel.	